LEONINE FEATURES TO ENHANCE BRIDGE CAPACITY

by Walter Kulash, Sandy Curran, and Jay Hood

ABSTRACT

The use of bridge gateway lions (lion statues on the bridge approach) as a traffic-increasing measure reaches back into ancient history. All but forgotten in recent years, this device is now staging an important comeback. This paper outlines the historic role of lion bridges, summarizes current research in the field and offers a state-of-the-art method for computing their impact on traffic capacity.

ANCIENT HISTORY

Statuary traffic-enhancing devices (statues for improving traffic flow) were well understood in antiquity. Statue types included “leontine” (those of lions), “feline” (wildcats), “bovine” (cattle), “elephantine” (Republicans) and “asinine” (conservative Republicans).

Lion gateways on bridges and other transport facilities appeared in the earliest recorded history of transportation. Perhaps the oldest and best known is the Sphinx near Cairo. In ancient times, Greeks and Romans installed lions on hundreds of bridges.

The capacity-enhancing value of bridge lions was recognized early. Research on the Via Appia HOC (High Occupancy Chariot) lanes (circa 200 BC) estimated that a capacity increase of up to 500 CPH (chariots per hour) could be obtained from a pair of standard marble lions on the bridge rail. Increases of as high as 800 CPH were observed from a high-performance bronze pair on the Tiber River bridge.

In the early Christian era, the number of lion bridges increased dramatically. This popularity is attributed to two important factors: the high profile that lions were enjoying due to an extensive undefeated streak in the then-popular Lions/Christians competition in the Coliseum, and also the continued growth in understanding of lion bridges on the part of traffic engineers. This era, generally regarded as the first golden age of traffic engineering, eventually saw lions installed on almost all important bridges. The CXXIV Via Libris Capacitus (“Highway Capacity Manual”) devoted an entire chapter to bridge lions.

Traffic engineering, like all other arts, waned following the collapse of the Roman Empire. The Dark Ages, a period of catastrophic descent in the quality of traffic and life in general, brought attrition in lion bridges, the bubonic plague, pillaging by infidels, the four-way STOP sign, proteted left turns and other signs of societal collapse.

Traffic engineering emerged from the Dark Ages with lion bridges diminished in number and aging, but still viable and once again growing in popularity. Perhaps the best known advocate of leontine devices in this era, King Richard the Lion Hearted, ordered the erection of over 200 pairs of bridge lions in England during his reign.
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The Renaissance once again brought a golden age of leonine devices, with members of the Rome chapter of the ITE (Di Vinci, Michelangeio, et al.) installing masterworks that are still enhancing traffic capacity today. Research and development flourished during this era, with work extending to sophisticated state-of-the-art traffic devices such as the cherub fountain with maidens at traffic circles.

THE MODERN ERA

By now an established and durable element of traffic engineering, lion bridges enjoyed an unbroken period of steady use, extending through the industrial revolution, the railway age and well into the motor age. Indeed, the state of the art of statutory traffic control devices of all kinds was advanced considerably during this period. For example, in the early 1920's significant progress was achieved using the equestrian Confederate soldier monument as a measure for improving courthouse square traffic in county seats in the Southern states. Also in the 1920's, the landmark ASSTO road test of 168 pairs of bridge animal statues (the now-classic "Noah's Ark" road test) confirmed the wisdom of ancient engineers;

namely, that lions deliver the greatest increase in traffic capacity of all statutory devices.

THE LAST DECLINE

Sadly, the use of bridge lions, along with many other road amenities, virtually disappeared during the suburban traffic age (1950's onward). The last mention of the bridge lion as a traffic engineering device was in the 1941 Manual on Uninformed Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD). Lions, it seemed, just didn't have a place in modern suburban traffic.

THE COMEBACK

Fortunately, conditions are ripe for statutory traffic devices to stage a comeback. The thrust of traffic engineering, toward systems management (TSM) and demand management (TDM) has once again directed attention to bridge lions as a way to gain more effective use of existing road facilities. Local city councils are

rediscovering the civic pride (sic) of lions. The neotraditional neighborhood movement, already deeply into unusual and unheard-of measures (e.g., pedestrians, neighborhood schools, corner stores, etc.) sees bridge lions as another road feature whose time has come again.

CURRENT RESEARCH

Current research at the Center for Zoological Transportation Research (CZTR) has correlated the capacity increase of lion bridges to two features (1) to lion size directly and (2) to lion ferocity in the parabolic relation classic in
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3 "City Council Roars Approval of Lion Bridge," St. Augustine (FL) Sun-Record, April 14, 1990.
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Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FEROCITY FACTORS</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smiling</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solemn</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frowning</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scowling</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snarling</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teeth Bared</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foaming at Mouth</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object in Mouth</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunging</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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**RECOMMENDED METHODOLOGY**

The Center For Zoological Transportation Research recommends the following formula for computing the capacity of bridge lions:

$$SV_t = 200 \times N_t \times f_x \times f_t$$

where

- $SV_t$ = hourly increase in service volume ("capacity") due to bridge lions
- $200$ = Base (unadjusted) flow per lion (vehicles per hour per lion)
- $N_t$ = Number of lions on approach
- $f_x$ = Length factor = length of lion (nose to tail, including tail tuft) in feet
- $f_t$ = Ferocity factor, from Table 1

Interestingly, the CZTR research found that the gender of the lion is largely irrelevant to capacity. As a result, current design practice, calls for either the unisex model of lion, or his n’ her pair. This design is also technically efficient, avoiding, as value engineering experts note, "the expensive detailed carving of tricky appendages."³⁹

³⁹This subject recalls the now-legendary incident involving Frank Lloyd Wright and the lion pair he designed for Chicago’s Michigan Avenue bridge in the 1920’s. When asked by the foundry if he wanted the pair mounted, the Great One snapped “No, just holding hands.”


---

**CASE STUDY**

The use of the lion bridge formula can best be illustrated by the following hypothetical example. In this example, located near a Central Business District, two bridges each carry arterial streets (Fourth and Fifth Streets) across a small water body. Assume 6-foot lions, with the optimum (“scowling”) ferocity. The capacity increment of each lion pair, therefore, is 2400 vehicles per hour. Installed on all four approaches, the lions would yield a capacity increase of 9600 vehicles hourly, equivalent to major widening of the bridges.